This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vugnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gcv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/658,712	09/11/2000	Peter Heiler	A-2528	4157
75	90 05/20/2003			
Lerner and Greenberg, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2480 Hollywood, FL	33022-2480		CRENSHAW, MARVIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2854	
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/20/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary	09/658,712	HEILER ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marvin P. Crenshaw	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1. 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a repleply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on the	e amendment on 03 March 20	<u>03</u> .				
a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in App	lication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e).				
Attachment(s)						
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not 	19) 🔲 Notice of Inf	ommary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) omnal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01) - Application/Control Number: 09/658,712

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims1-3, 10,15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guaraldi et al.

Guaraldi et al. teaches a rotatable body (Fig. 1) for printing machines comprising a circumferential surface provided with a surface structure and formed of a nonmetallic material, said circumferential surface being a roller selected from the group of rollers consisting of a slip roller (16) and a vibrator roller (20). The roller serves for carrying one of ink and emulsion (See col. 1, lines 19-22). The rotatable body wherein during printing, said roller is in permanent engagement (Fig. 1) with two other rollers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2854

Claims 4-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guaraldi et al. in view of Buchwald.

Guaraldi et al. teaches all that is claimed, as discussed in the above rejection of claims 1-3,10,15 and 16, except the surface structure of the roller for carrying a liquid and has a groove running helically in the circumferential surface

With respect to claim 4, Buchwald teaches a surface structure is a groove running helically (5) in the circumferential surface.

With respect to claim 5, Buchwald teaches the nonmetallic material (See col. 5, lines 65-57 and col. 6, lines 1-6) is selected from the group of materials consisting of hard rubber and hard plastic material.

With respect to claim 6, Buchwald teaches a surface structure is made up of a multiplicity of dimples (Fig. 2) formed in the circumferential surface

With respect to claim 7, Buchwald teaches the surface is formed of slats (Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 9, Buchwald teaches the nonmetallic material (See col. 5, lines 65-57 and col. 6, lines 1-6) is selected from the group of materials consisting of soft rubber and soft plastic material.

It would have been obvious to modify Guaraldi et al. to have a surface structure for the roller to carry a liquid and having a groove running helically in the circumferential surface as taught by Buchwald because the rotatable body is excellent when carrying or transferring fluids to another roller.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,712

Art Unit: 2854

With respect to claim 8, having an arithmetical average height of the surface structure is at least 12 microns, is merely a design choice for controlling the amount of liquid to be transferred to the other rollers during printing.

With respect to claim 11-14, having a rotatable body having a circumferential surface for carrying a viscid liquid, offset printing ink, a printing-ink emulsion and a dampening-solution, Buchwald teaches a circumferential surface (See Col. 3, lines 19-39) for a roller for use in conventional printing presses that is used to carry any liquid of use in the press.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/03/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claims 1-3,10,15 and 16, Guaraldi et al. teaches a rotatable body having a circumferential surface for transferring liquid to another roller. He also specifies using a slip and a vibrator roller as his rotatable bodies in a printing machine. Also, Buchwald has been added to teach the surface structure of the roller for carrying a liquid.

With respect to the roller being a vibrator roller that periodically comes into contact with another roller this argument is not persuasive for two reasons.

First, the claim language does not require a vibrator roller to meet the claims since the claims state that the roller is either a slip roller or a vibrator roller. Second, the language in claims 15 and 16 addressing the periodic contacting of the vibrator roller is

Page 4

Page 5

· Application/Control Number: 09/658,712

Art Unit: 2854

functional language and does not set forth structure to distinguish the claimed roller from the vibrator roller of Guaraldi.

With respect to applicant's argument that the roller 20 of Guaraldi is incorrectly labeled, the roller 20 of Guaraldi is still a type of vibrator roller even though it functions differently from a periodically moving vibrating roller.

With respect to Guaraldi does not teach the roller (20) having a nonmetallic surface, it does not. But with respect to claims 1, 10, 15 and 16, it is only claimed that one or the other roller is made of a nonmetallic material and the slip roller being made of rubber meets it.

With respect to the roller having a surface structure, the applicant hasn't stated in the claims what that surface structure is for the roller, with that structure not being clearly stated the prior art teaches a roller having a surface structure for transferring liquid to the other rollers.

Also, with respect to applicant's argument concerning Shiraki et al., this reference was not applied to the claims in the last office action. Therefore, the arguments are moot.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/658,712

Art Unit: 2854

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marvin P. Crenshaw whose telephone number is (703)

308-0797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

MPC

May 13, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 6